ſ	
1	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF ALABAMA
2	NORTHERN DIVISION
3	US BEVERAGE, INC., Plaintiff,
4	vs. JOHN BUSTER WALKER,
5 ⁻	II, and TRIDENT CIVIL ACTION NO. MARKETING, INC.,
6	Defendants. 2:06-CV-496-SRW
7	JOHN BUSTER WALKER, II, and TRIDENT
8	MARKETING, INC., Counterclaim
9	Plaintiffs,
10	vs. US BEVERAGE, INC., Counterclaim
11	Defendant, and
12	GRADY DOWLING
13	KITTRELL, THOMAS GOIN CLARK, III, and NORMAN "BUDDY" TODD,
14	Third Party Defendants.
16	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
17	DEPOSITION OF THOMAS GOIN CLARK, III, taken pursuant to notice and stipulation on behalf of the Defendants/Counterclaim
18	Plaintiffs, in the Law Offices of Copeland, Franco, Screws & Gill, 444 South Perry Street,
19	Montgomery, Alabama, before Tiffany B. Beasley, Certified Court Reporter and Notary
20	Public in and for the State of Alabama at Large, on November 16, 2006, commencing at
21	8:57 a.m.
22	
23	

		·
1	Α.	I don't recall.
2	Q.	What do you recall about the proposal to sell
3	•	Juice Alive to day care centers?
4	Α.	I recall that there being some arrangement
5		where John and Ryan Hamner would create a
6		market for our day care product on the
7		Internet.
8	Q.	And what was the day care product? Just
9		describe it.
10	Α.	It was a hundred percent seven-plus-one juice.
11		Hundred percent juice. Mixed ratio was
12		seven-plus-one.
.13	Q.	And this juice, would this be a frozen slush
14		or just a
15	Α.	It's the it's the same juice as the frozen
16		slush, but it was just juice for sold in a
17		juice I mean, sold the exact same way,
18		packed the exact the exact same or
19		similar way. Just not frozen. The end user
20	· .	didn't freeze it.
21	Q.	Who brought up this proposal to sell the day
22	-	care juice under the Juice Alive name?
23	Α.	I believe John and Ryan.

A. Gary is the -- our representative with Supreme Manufacturing.

- Q. And you mentioned that this was signed under coercion.
- A. Yes.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- Q. Can you describe that for us?
- Yes. John contacted -- we were -- this was Α. signed at the Mississippi -- or this agreement was made at the Mississippi trade show, if I'm not mistaken. And Grady and John met and discussed some things, then I was brought in at the end of the deal, I think. When they laid out the solution for -- for logical separation, prior to that arrangement, or prior to that meeting, Mr. Walker had called me and notified me that he had a booth at the Mississippi show and was going to be selling his Juice Alive product individually unless -and we also had a booth there -- and that unless we agreed to -- to start selling his product, that he would compete against us. We Wе were already in extreme financial trouble. had no sales force. Our -- John had

abandoned -- or John had not been selling in our business for quite some time, and we were essentially on our last leg financially.

And we had -- we felt like we had no choice at this point in time but to cooperate with John so that we could join forces and sell -- it was the only chance we were going to have to -- only chance we had of making it financially, we felt like at the time, was to submit to this coercive behavior.

You know, either you -- which was presented to us, either you buy our product, or I'm competing against you, and I'll put you out of business. Because as John is our salesperson to all of our contacts at that time, John was still -- John was all our contacts knew of US Beverage. And our -- like any other sales thing, it's a relationship. There's not necessarily a loyalty to the company; there's a loyalty to the relationship. And we had absolutely no choice at the time but to sign it or go out of business.

1	Q.	Well, why didn't you go to court and ask for
2		an injunction?
3	Α.	Well, you're an attorney. You know that would
4		be ridiculous, two or three days before the
5		before the show. We had neither the time nor
6		the money to do that.
7	Q.	You filed a lawsuit approximately six months
8		later, didn't you?
9	Α.	That wasn't going to help us at the show.
10	Q.	Did you consult an attorney before you signed
11		this?
12		MR. GILL: Object to the form.
13	Α.	No.
14	Q.	Well, any other form of coercion, anything
15	Q.	Well, any other form of coercion, anything else that other than what you've described,
	Q.	
15	Q. A.	else that other than what you've described,
15 16		else that other than what you've described, that you're alleging?
15 16 17	Α.	else that other than what you've described, that you're alleging? For that agreement right there?
15 16 17 18	A. Q.	else that other than what you've described, that you're alleging? For that agreement right there? Yes.
15 16 17 18 19	A. Q.	else that other than what you've described, that you're alleging? For that agreement right there? Yes. Oh, sure. Coercion since since the very
15 16 17 18 19 20	A. Q.	else that other than what you've described, that you're alleging? For that agreement right there? Yes. Oh, sure. Coercion since since the very beginning. That summer when or the in

I should turn these over unless we can come to some agreement about the buyout. And once again, the record will show extreme financial problems due to a lot of things, but certainly a sales effort that had no robustness about it at all.

And then there was in April of the -- I believe April of this year at the trade show, at the Alabama trade show, we had signed this agreement and were paying the 1.20 and doing what we were supposed to do trying to work out an agreement. John comes to me again at the trade show and says that, I've just had lunch with your competitor, and he's willing to pay more for the product. If you're not willing to pay more for it and do certain things, I will compete against you; I will sell to them, and I will put you out of business.

Q. Okay. So you -- and I'm sorry. Are you telling -- I'm asking you about coercion that you allege led you to sign this document dated November 18th, 2005 --

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Α.

Q.

Α.

testimony that -- that the decision by US Beverage to create the Fruzers brand occurred after US Beverage received a cease-and-desist lawyer -- letter from a lawyer representing John Walker? Yes. Was that the only reason that US Beverage decided just to create the Fruzers brand? Our -- we began thinking about it after we were giving an -- we were given an ultimatum after the Alabama trade show to either start paying extremely high prices for what we were receiving -- as a matter of fact, at the show, John said, I've been holding back on you, which was -- I could give you more, but I have not done so -- which was reminiscent of Cool Tropics -- and more coercive behavior, we started looking at the possibilities -- doing an exploration of what it would cost and what it would take to form a brand, but it wasn't until we were given a

cease-and-desist letter that we decided to --

that that was what we would do as a company.

Α.	It starts the only thing I know it starts
	with is when we have the money to do it, that
	we would do it. And that's my that's my
	recollection.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- Q. Okay. Again, I'm just trying to understand, but you --
- Mr. Jackson, the understanding is, we had just Α. purchased a little over a hundred and something thousand, I believe, of Juice Alive. And after we received our -- few days, while our last purchase was on its way, received a cease-and-desist notice from somebody representing Juice Alive. And I felt that it was -- it put us in a desperate situation because we were going into bidding season, and our -- for the schools, and this was some of the knowledge that Mr. Walker had of our He knew that we -- I believe that business. he knew that we needed -- we all knew we needed a brand for the business. And I've got a cease-and-desist letter, and I've got bids I've got to put a brand name on, and I asked my buddy to help me out and that we'd work the

1		details out down the road. We talked about a
2		lot of things, but we have nothing set we
3		have nothing set in stone.
4	Q.	You say you've got a sliding scale based on
5		volume?
6	Α.	That I proposed to him, yes, and I did not
7		write it down.
8	Q.	And what is your buddy's name again?
9	Α.	Carrie Bynum.
10	Q.	Do you think Mr. Bynum could shed some light
11		on what the this proposed sliding scale
12		would be?
13	Α.	I'm sure he could.
14	Q.	Has US Beverage trademarked the Fruzers name?
15	Α.	We've applied for the trademark.
16	Q.	When was that applied for?
17	Α.	Sometime this past summer, I believe.
18	Q.	When you say, you applied, you mean you
19		applied with the US Patent and Trademark
20		Office; correct?
21	Α.	Yes. And Carrie Carrie Bynum handled that
22		for us on our behalf. He acquired the
23		attorney and liaised that whole situation.

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

depositions it was noted that our competitors have not done particularly well against us in some areas, they have caused us to lower our bids.

We bid against Juice Alive in -- in one bid in North Carolina, and it was very malicious the way that it was done. Juice Alive representatives posing -- or confusing the child nutrition people that they were -- that they were us and then switching the Juice Alive brand on them and then sending Dispensing Systems out there to bid it, forced us into -- once it was all fared out and we were given the opportunity to go into this particular buying group, the -- somebody representing Juice Alive said, well, we want to force this into a bid, and we were already -- we were at 59.94, so we went to -so we went into the bid. We bid 56.94, I believe, and our competitor bid \$86 knowing that the -- that we were already at 59.94. And then at the South Carolina show, they made mention of that, why did you-all bid so low on

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

0.

Α.

that when you could have bid a lot more than that? I see that as malicious, and I really don't feel that since Mr. Walker is still benefiting every day from his association with US Beverage that he has the right to do that and he has the right to take the Juice Alive name, which is ours, to simply destroy our -to destroy our profitability, to confuse the marketplace, or to gain personally off of something that he created with -- as vice-president of sales, charged with the idea -- with the mission of creating a market for US Beverage products. You just mentioned something I've never heard of before. Who is it you contend posed as a US Beverage employee in North Carolina? I was told -- this is That, I don't know. third-party information, but I was told by Buddy Todd when he called and tried to sell to one of these accounts -- and I would love for this to go on the record, quite honestly -that they had already made the decision to go with the company they met at the show.

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Q.

Α.

Q.

reminded them that they hadn't met them at the show; they had met us. And they go, you know, that's right, but they spoke as though we had met them at the show, and they talked about the product as though we had met them at the show, but it was really US Beverage we met at the show; huh, I don't like that; okay, I'm going to tell them that I don't like the way they do business; I'm going to tell them they can't do it. So they give us -- they gave it to us at 59.94. But I think the records -- I mean, I'd be happy for you to speak to somebody about that, because I do think that's -- it raises your eyebrow; it raised mine. And I --And you can't put it --If I were you, I wouldn't want you to do that because --I didn't ask you the question because it raised any damn eyebrows. I asked you the question because I'm giving your deposition. MR. GILL: You don't need to argue with him.

THE WITNESS: Okay.

A. As I stated earlier, to a significant number of our -- at the time of the -- or at the time that Mr. Walker started competing against himself, against US Beverage, to the line share of our business -- he was US Beverage.

It's a relationship business, and it's not a loyalty to a -- it's not a loyalty to a brand as much as -- or a company as much it is a loyalty to the relationship.

Mr. Walker was receiving -Mr. Walker had prepared up to the time that
he was no longer actively involved in the
company, kept all of those contacts in a
couple of forms on his -- you know, his
computer at home, on his PDA thing, whatever
that thing is called, in his phone, phone
records, phone numbers, and he also received
regular copies of our books, and he also
prepared every one of our bids that I can
recall. And so he had a -- very, very
exponent knowledge since they all -- even at
the show, Mississippi show, I presented John

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Q.

Α.

still as our partner, believed that John was with US Beverage. And -- and as our single sales force, they wouldn't know anybody else but John. And John would know -- and John had every one of those contacts. He knows our prices, he knows what we pay for our product because he received a royalty on the 1.20 agreement. Over and above our invoice price we invoiced, we sent him POs along with Supreme, so he knew exactly what we paid for the product; he knew how to structure our bids -- or he knew how we structured our bids because he had been doing the bidding, and he knew every -- John intimately, because he was our sales force through -- intimately to every facet of that side of the business. Any other type of proprietary knowledge other than what you've already listed? He knew our financial position; he knew our debts, he knew or pay scales, he knew all of our employees. You know, in that -- he also

used -- in developing the Juice Alive brand,

used our resources and started developing

customers under the Juice Alive name that we 1 didn't know about that we felt like were ours 2 and did not have access to those. So John --3 4 John was US Beverage to our customers. That's... 5 You mentioned bids, in preparing bids. Were 6 Q. these bids for public contracts? 7 Yes. 8 Α. Do you know if your bids would be public 9 Q. 10 record? Yes, our bids would be public record. 11 Α. What about the identity of your public 12 Q. clients, like your clients in school systems 13 and so forth; is that information -- would 14 that be publicly available? 15 Now, that, I don't know. Both our bid price 16 Α. and our -- and who our clients are, you can --17 if you owned the company, you could find that 18 out in seconds. Just look at your books. 19 We -- you have to work very, very hard at 20 times to get bid prices and find out who those 21 customers are without just driving to the 22 location and looking to see who they are. 23